# Profile of Mood States (POMS)



Jennifer A. Haythornthwaite, Ph.D. Robert R. Edwards, Ph.D.

Department of Psychiatry & Behavioral Sciences
Johns Hopkins University
Baltimore, Maryland USA

#### **POMS: Basics**

- Original: 65 adjectives rated on 5-point scale 0= not at all; 1=a little; 2=moderately; 3=quite a bit; 4=extremely
- **Time** frame

\*"How you have been feeling during the PAST WEEK, INCLUDING TODAY"

\*"How you feel RIGHT NOW"

#### POMS: Subscales

- Factor analysis: 6 subscales

  - depression (15 items, range 0-60)
  - anger-hostility (12 items, range 0-48)
- Total mood disturbance (TMD): add subscales, subtract vigor (range 0-200)

### POMS: Psychometric properties

Excellent internal consistency of subscales

Excellent internal consistency of subscales

Excellent internal consistency of subscales

(consistency of subscales consistency of subscales consistenc

Curran, Andrykowski, & Sudts, Short Form of the POMS... Psychological Assessment, 1995, 7:80.

### POMS: Psychometric properties

- Test-retest less frequently examined
  - **∠POMS** manual provides data on psychiatric outpatients
    - avg of 20 days (range 3-110):  $r_{tt}$  ranged from 0.65 (vigor) to 0.74 (depression)
    - avg of 4 weeks of VA psychiatric treatment: ranged from 0.61 to 0.69

#### POMS: Validity

- Multiple factor-analytic studies have confirmed the 6 factors
- Concurrent validity
  - BDI, MMPI-2

     ■
  - Consistent sex effects (except anger-hostility)
  - Psychiatric diagnostic groups
- Discriminant validity
  - New-moderate correlations with social desirability (except anger)

#### POMS: Problems

- Multiple time frames
  - usually time frame is not reported in Methods
- Multiple short forms are used
  - there is an official Brief POMS (30 items)
  - - 11 items (no subscales)
    - 37 items often used in the cancer literature
- Variations in scoring
  - Most studies use sum of item responses

  - - and don't report the norms used to calculate T scores

#### POMS: Problems (cont'd)

- Significant inconsistencies in reporting of data
  - some studies use only selected subscales
  - many studies provide no summary statistics on POMS
- Many studies not appropriate for current purpose
  - short periods of study (hours or days)
  - no control groups in many treatment outcome studies

#### POMS: Problems (cont'd)

- Minimal Difference (MD) with POMS
  - no anchor-based (patient or clinician ratings of importance) studies found
- ♣Population-based differences are available: e.g., studies comparing patients with pain and without pain
  - most are in cancer literature and studies generally use various versions of the Brief POMS

#### POMS: Observed Group differences

	Mean Diff Score
POMS	(
Subscale	(# studies)
Tension	2.7 (5)
Depression	4.9 (6)
Anger	2.8 (4)
Vigor	4.2 (6)
Fatigue	5.4 (5)
Confusion	3.2 (4)
TMD	25.8 (5)

Diff – refers to comparison of patients and non-patients or patients with pain to patients without pain

### POMS: Observed Change Scores

POMS	Mean Change Score
Subscale	(# studies)
Tension	2.4 (4)
Depression	4.4 (4)
Anger	2.1 (5)
Vigor	1.1 (5)
Fatigue	3.3 (4)
Confusion	2.3 (3)
TMD	17.9 (5)

Change – refers to treatment studies

### POMS: combining these two

	Mean Change Score	Mean Diff Score
POMS	(# studios)	(# otudioo)
Subscale	(# studies)	(# studies)
Tension	2.4 (4)	2.7 (5)
Depression	4.4 (4)	4.9 (6)
Anger	2.1 (5)	2.8 (4)
Vigor	1.1 (5)	4.2 (6)
Fatigue	3.3 (4)	5.4 (5)
Confusion	2.3 (3)	3.2 (4)
TMD	17.9 (5)	25.8 (5)

Change – refers to treatment studies

Diff – refers to group comparison studies

	Rowbotham study – PHN patients Average SD (active and placebo)			Sator-Katzenshlager N=477 CPPs		
POMS Subscale	1/2 SD	Calculated SEM (alpha)	Calculated SEM (TRT)	1/2 SD	Calculated SEM (alpha)	Calculated SEM (TRT)
Tension	4.0	3.8	4.4	7.6	7.2	8.3
Depression						
Anger						
Vigor						
Fatigue						
Confusion						
TMD						

	Rowbotham study – PHN patients Average SD (active and placebo)			Sator-Katzenshlager N=477 CPPs		
POMS Subscale	1/2 SD	Calculated SEM (alpha)	Calculated SEM (TRT)	1/2 SD	Calculated SEM (alpha)	Calculated SEM (TRT)
Tension	4.0	3.8	4.4	7.6	7.2	8.3
Depression	5.1	3.3	5.2	12.1	7.8	12.3
Anger						
Vigor						
Fatigue						
Confusion						
TMD						

		otham study – ge SD (active a		Sator-Katzenshlager N=477 CPPs		
POMS Subscale	1/2 SD	Calculated SEM (alpha)	Calculated SEM (TRT)	1/2 SD	Calculated SEM (alpha)	Calculated SEM (TRT)
Tension	4.0	3.8	4.4	7.6	7.2	8.3
Depression						
	5.1	3.3	5.2	12.1	7.8	12.3
Anger	4.0	1.8	4.3	6.3	2.8	6.7
Vigor						
Fatigue						
Confusion						
TMD						

	Rowbotham study – PHN patients Average SD (active and placebo)			Sator-Katzenshlager N=477 CPPs		
POMS Subscale	1/2 SD	Calculated SEM (alpha)	Calculated SEM (TRT)	1/2 SD	Calculated SEM (alpha)	Calculated SEM (TRT)
Tension	4.0	3.8	4.4	7.6	7.2	8.3
Depression						
	5.1	3.3	5.2	12.1	7.8	12.3
Anger	4.0	1.8	4.3	6.3	2.8	6.7
Vigor	3.1	1.8	3.7	5.6	3.2	6.6
Fatigue						
Confusion						
TMD						

	Rowbotham study – PHN patients Average SD (active and placebo)			Sator-Katzenshlager N=477 CPPs		
POMS Subscale	1/2 SD	Calculated SEM (alpha)	Calculated SEM (TRT)	1/2 SD	Calculated SEM (alpha)	Calculated SEM (TRT)
Tension	4.0	3.8	4.4	7.6	7.2	8.3
Depression						
	5.1	3.3	5.2	12.1	7.8	12.3
Anger	4.0	1.8	4.3	6.3	2.8	6.7
Vigor	3.1	1.8	3.7	5.6	3.2	6.6
Fatigue	3.9	2.1	4.5	N/A	N/A	N/A
Confusion						
TMD						

	Rowbotham study – PHN patients Average SD (active and placebo)			Sator-Katzenshlager N=477 CPPs		
POMS Subscale	1/2 SD	Calculated SEM (alpha)	Calculated SEM (TRT)	1/2 SD	Calculated SEM (alpha)	Calculated SEM (TRT)
Tension	4.0	3.8	4.4	7.6	7.2	8.3
Depression						
	5.1	3.3	5.2	12.1	7.8	12.3
Anger	4.0	1.8	4.3	6.3	2.8	6.7
Vigor	3.1	1.8	3.7	5.6	3.2	6.6
Fatigue	3.9	2.1	4.5	N/A	N/A	N/A
Confusion	2.3	2.3	2.6	N/A	N/A	N/A
TMD						

		otham study – ge SD (active a		Sator-Katzenshlager N=477 CPPs			
POMS Subscale	1/2 SD	Calculated SEM (alpha)	Calculated SEM (TRT)	1/2 SD	Calculated SEM (alpha)	Calculated SEM (TRT)	
Tension	4.0	3.8	4.4	7.6	7.2	8.3	
Depression							
	5.1	3.3	5.2	12.1	7.8	12.3	
Anger	4.0	1.8	4.3	6.3	2.8	6.7	
Vigor	3.1	1.8	3.7	5.6	3.2	6.6	
Fatigue	3.9	2.1	4.5	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Confusion	2.3	2.3	2.6	N/A	N/A	N/A	
TMD	10.3	14.3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

	Rowbotham study – PHN patients Change (placebo) SD			Speca et al – WL control Cancer outpatients		
POMS Subscale	1/2 SD	Calculated SEM (alpha)	Calculated SEM (TRT)	1/2 SD	Calculated SEM (alpha)	Calculated SEM (TRT)
Tension	2.5	2.3	4.4	2.6	2.5	2.8
Depression						
Anger						
Vigor						
Fatigue						
Confusion						
TMD						

	Rowbotham study – PHN patients Change (placebo) SD			Speca et al – WL control Cancer outpatients		
POMS Subscale	1/2 SD	Calculated SEM (alpha)	Calculated SEM (TRT)	1/2 SD	Calculated SEM (alpha)	Calculated SEM (TRT)
Tension	2.5	2.3	4.4	2.6	2.5	2.8
Depression	3.3	2.1	5.2	4.8	3.1	4.8
Anger						
Vigor						
Fatigue						
Confusion						
TMD						

		otham study – Change (place		Speca et al – WL control Cancer outpatients			
POMS Subscale	1/2 SD	Calculated SEM (alpha)	Calculated SEM (TRT)	1/2 SD	Calculated SEM (alpha)	Calculated SEM (TRT)	
Tension	2.5	2.3	4.4	2.6	2.5	2.8	
Depression	3.3	2.1	5.2	4.8	3.1	4.8	
Anger	2.3	1.0	4.3	3.6	1.6	3.8	
Vigor							
Fatigue							
Confusion							
TMD							

		otham study – Change (place	PHN patients bo) SD	Speca et al – WL control Cancer outpatients			
POMS Subscale	1/2 SD	Calculated SEM (alpha)	Calculated SEM (TRT)	1/2 SD	Calculated SEM (alpha)	Calculated SEM (TRT)	
Tension	2.5	2.3	4.4	2.6	2.5	2.8	
Depression	3.3	2.1	5.2	4.8	3.1	4.8	
Anger	2.3	1.0	4.3	3.6	1.6	3.8	
Vigor	2.3	1.3	3.7	2.3	1.3	2.7	
Fatigue							
Confusion							
TMD							

		otham study – Change (place	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Speca et al – WL control Cancer outpatients			
POMS Subscale	1/2 SD	Calculated SEM (alpha)	Calculated SEM (TRT)	1/2 SD	Calculated SEM (alpha)	Calculated SEM (TRT)	
Tension	2.5	2.3	4.4	2.6	2.5	2.8	
Depression	3.3	2.1	5.2	4.8	3.1	4.8	
Anger	2.3	1.0	4.3	3.6	1.6	3.8	
Vigor	2.3	1.3	3.7	2.3	1.3	2.7	
Fatigue	2.5	1.3	4.5	3.0	1.6	3.5	
Confusion							
TMD							

		otham study – Change (place		Speca et al – WL control Cancer outpatients			
POMS Subscale	1/2 SD	Calculated SEM (alpha)	Calculated SEM (TRT)	1/2 SD	Calculated SEM (alpha)	Calculated SEM (TRT)	
Tension	2.5	2.3	4.4	2.6	2.5	2.8	
Depression	3.3	2.1	5.2	4.8	3.1	4.8	
Anger	2.3	1.0	4.3	3.6	1.6	3.8	
Vigor	2.3	1.3	3.7	2.3	1.3	2.7	
Fatigue	2.5	1.3	4.5	3.0	1.6	3.5	
Confusion	1.5	1.5	2.6	2.0	2.0	2.2	
TMD							

		otham study – Change (place		Speca et al – WL control Cancer outpatients			
POMS Subscale	1/2 SD	Calculated SEM (alpha)	Calculated SEM (TRT)	1/2 SD	Calculated SEM (alpha)	Calculated SEM (TRT)	
Tension	2.5	2.3	4.4	2.6	2.5	2.8	
Depression	3.3	2.1	5.2	4.8	3.1	4.8	
Anger	2.3	1.0	4.3	3.6	1.6	3.8	
Vigor	2.3	1.3	3.7	2.3	1.3	2.7	
Fatigue	2.5	1.3	4.5	3.0	1.6	3.5	
Confusion	1.5	1.5	2.6	2.0	2.0	2.2	
TMD	14.3	8.1	N/A	18.1	11.3	N/A	

	Baseline SD			Change Scores			
POMS Subscale	Summary of studies	Range of ½ SD	Range of SEM	Summary of studies	Range of ½ SD	Range of SEM	
Tension	2.4	4.0-7.6	3.8-8.3	2.7	2.5-2.6	2.3-4.4	
Depression							
Anger							
Vigor							
Fatigue							
Confusion							
TMD							

	Baseline SD			Change Scores		
POMS Subscale	Summary of studies	Range of ½ SD	Range of SEM	Summary of studies	Range of ½ SD	Range of SEM
Tension	2.4	4.0-7.6	3.8-8.3	2.7	2.5-2.6	2.3-4.4
Depression	4.4	5.1–12.1	3.3-12.3	4.9	3.3-4.8	2.1-5.2
Anger						
Vigor						
Fatigue						
Confusion						
TMD						

	Baseline SD			Change Scores		
POMS Subscale	Summary of studies	Range of ½ SD	Range of SEM	Summary of studies	Range of ½ SD	Range of SEM
Tension	2.4	4.0-7.6	3.8-8.3	2.7	2.5-2.6	2.3-4.4
Depression	4.4	5.1–12.1	3.3-12.3	4.9	3.3-4.8	2.1-5.2
Anger	2.1	4.0-6.3	1.8-6.7	2.8	2.3-3.6	1.0-4.3
Vigor						
Fatigue						
Confusion						
TMD						

	Baseline SD			Change Scores			
POMS Subscale	Summary of studies	Range of ½ SD	Range of SEM	Summary of studies	Range of ½ SD	Range of SEM	
Tension	2.4	4.0-7.6	3.8-8.3	2.7	2.5-2.6	2.3-4.4	
Depression	4.4	5.1–12.1	3.3-12.3	4.9	3.3-4.8	2.1-5.2	
Anger	2.1	4.0-6.3	1.8-6.7	2.8	2.3-3.6	1.0-4.3	
Vigor	1.1	3.1-5.6	1.8-6.6	4.2	2.3	1.3-3.7	
Fatigue							
Confusion							
TMD							

	Baseline SD			Change Scores			
POMS Subscale	Summary of studies	Range of ½ SD	Range of SEM	Summary of studies	Range of ½ SD	Range of SEM	
Tension	2.4	4.0-7.6	3.8-8.3	2.7	2.5-2.6	2.3-4.4	
Depression	4.4	5.1–12.1	3.3-12.3	4.9	3.3-4.8	2.1-5.2	
Anger	2.1	4.0-6.3	1.8-6.7	2.8	2.3-3.6	1.0-4.3	
Vigor	1.1	3.1-5.6	1.8-6.6	4.2	2.3	1.3-3.7	
Fatigue	3.3	3.9	2.1-4.5	5.4	2.5-3.0	1.3-4.5	
Confusion							
TMD							

	Baseline SD			Change Scores			
POMS Subscale	Summary of studies	Range of ½ SD	Range of SEM	Summary of studies	Range of ½ SD	Range of SEM	
Tension	2.4	4.0-7.6	3.8-8.3	2.7	2.5-2.6	2.3-4.4	
Depression	4.4	5.1–12.1	3.3-12.3	4.9	3.3-4.8	2.1-5.2	
Anger	2.1	4.0-6.3	1.8-6.7	2.8	2.3-3.6	1.0-4.3	
Vigor	1.1	3.1-5.6	1.8-6.6	4.2	2.3	1.3-3.7	
Fatigue	3.3	3.9	2.1-4.5	5.4	2.5-3.0	1.3-4.5	
Confusion	2.3	2.3	2.3-2.6	3.2	1.5-2.0	1.5-2.6	
TMD							

	Baseline SD			Change Scores			
POMS Subscale	Summary of studies	Range of ½ SD	Range of SEM	Summary of studies	Range of ½ SD	Range of SEM	
Tension	2.4	4.0-7.6	3.8-8.3	2.7	2.5-2.6	2.3-4.4	
Depression	4.4	5.1–12.1	3.3-12.3	4.9	3.3-4.8	2.1-5.2	
Anger	2.1	4.0-6.3	1.8-6.7	2.8	2.3-3.6	1.0-4.3	
Vigor	1.1	3.1-5.6	1.8-6.6	4.2	2.3	1.3-3.7	
Fatigue	3.3	3.9	2.1-4.5	5.4	2.5-3.0	1.3-4.5	
Confusion	2.3	2.3	2.3-2.6	3.2	1.5-2.0	1.5-2.6	
TMD	17.9	10.3	14.3	25.8	14.3-18.1	8.1-11.3	

	Baseline SD			Change Scores		
POMS Subscale	Summary of studies	Range of ½ SD	Range of SEM	Summary of studies	Range of ½ SD	Range of SEM
Tension	2.4	4.0-7.6	3.8-8.3	2.7	2.5-2.6	2.3-4.4
Depression	4.4	5.1–12.1	3.3-12.3	4.9	3.3-4.8	2.1-5.2
Anger	2.1	4.0-6.3	1.8-6.7	2.8	2.3-3.6	1.0-4.3
Vigor	1.1	3.1-5.6	1.8-6.6	4.2	2.3	1.3-3.7
Fatigue	3.3	3.9	2.1-4.5	5.4	2.5-3.0	1.3-4.5
Confusion	2.3	2.3	2.3-2.6	3.2	1.5-2.0	1.5-2.6
TMD	17.9	10.3	14.3	25.8	14.3-18.1	8.1-11.3