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• **Patient-focused drug development (PFDD)**
  – Capturing the patient voice (21st Century Cures Act of 2016)
  – FDA flexibility

• **Roadmap to clinical outcome assessment (COA) selection/development**
  – Defining the target patient population and conceptualizing clinical benefit

• **Content validity of a COA**
  – Evidence from qualitative research that one is assessing the concept of interest

• **Use of COAs for Pain and Urgency Assessment**
Patient-Focused Drug Development (PFDD)

• PFDD is part of FDA commitments under Prescription Drug User Fee Act (PDUFA) V
  https://www.fda.gov/forindustry/userfees/prescriptiondruguserfee/
  – Conduct 20 public meetings each focused on a specific disease area
  – Each meeting results in a Voice of the Patient report that faithfully captures patient input from the various information streams

• 21st Century Cures Act of 2016 includes new statutory provisions for PFDD (under Title III Subtitle A)
21st Century Cures Act of 2016

Section 3002: PFDD Guidance

Publish Guidance for Industry addressing:

- Collection of accurate and representative patient experience data
- Collection of data on patients’ burden of disease, burden of treatment, and benefits/risks in disease management
- Identification and development of methods to measure impacts (e.g., burden of disease/treatment) to patients
- Collection and analysis of COAs for purposes of regulatory decision-making

Conduct public workshop on:

- COAs and better ways to incorporate COAs into endpoints
Evidence of Clinical Benefit to Patients

• **Direct** evidence of clinical benefit is derived from studies with endpoints that measure survival, or how patients feel and function in daily life.

• **Indirect** evidence of clinical benefit is derived from studies with endpoints that measure other things that are related to how patients survive, feel or function (e.g., surrogates, biomarkers).
What Is a Clinical Outcome Assessment (COA)?

Definition: Clinical outcome assessment (COA)

Assessment of a clinical outcome can be made through report by a clinician, a patient, a non-clinician observer, or through a performance-based assessment. There are four types of COAs:

- Clinician-reported outcome (ClinRO)
- Observer-reported outcome (ObsRO)
- Patient-reported outcome (PRO)
- Performance outcome (PerfO)

Definition provided from the FDA-NIH Biomarker Working Group BEST (Biomarkers, EndpointS, and other Tools) Glossary: [https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK338448/](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK338448/)
FDA has developed a number of tools to help guide the development of **fit-for-purpose COAs**:

- FDA PRO Guidance for Industry (2009)
- Roadmap to Patient-Focused Outcome Measurement in Clinical Trials
- Wheel and Spokes Diagram
- Pilot CDER COA Compendium (2016)
FDA PRO Guidance for Industry (2009)

- Defines **good measurement principles** to consider for “well-defined and reliable” (21 CFR 314.126) PRO measures intended to provide evidence of clinical benefit
  - **Goal:** Avoid labeling statements that may be false or misleading

- All clinical outcome assessments can benefit from the good measurement principles described within the guidance

- Provides **optimal approach** to PRO development; **flexibility and judgment** needed to meet practical demands

- **Flexibility is necessary**
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Roadmap to **PATIENT-FOCUSED OUTCOME MEASUREMENT** in Clinical Trials

**Understanding the Disease or Condition**

1. A. Natural history of the disease or condition
   - A. Identify concept(s) of interest for meaningful treatment benefit
   - B. Define context of use for clinical trial
   - C. Select clinical outcome assessment type

2. B. Patient subpopulations
   - A. Search for existing clinical outcome assessment
   - B. Begin clinical outcome assessment development
   - C. Complete clinical outcome assessment development

3. C. Health care environment
   - A. Natural history of the disease or condition
   - B. Patient subpopulations
   - C. Health care environment

4. D. Patient/caregiver perspectives
   - A. Natural history of the disease or condition
   - B. Patient subpopulations
   - C. Health care environment

Link to detailed version of Roadmap diagram:
Updated 4/28/15
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Development of CLINICAL OUTCOME ASSESSMENTS

I. Identify Context of Use and Measurement Concept

II. Draft Instrument and Evaluate Content Validity

III. Cross-sectional Evaluation of Other Measurement Properties

IV. Longitudinal Evaluation of Measurement Properties/Interpretation Methods

V. Modify Instrument

Spoke II: Content Validity
Qualitative research (i.e., focus groups; one-on-one interviews) for PRO tool development should be conducted in a sample of patients matching the eligibility criteria of the target clinical trial patient population.

With PRO tool development, patients should be asked in cognitive interviews:

– How they define the items’ instructions and concepts
– Whether they can distinguish between the item concepts (e.g., abdominal symptoms) and response options to determine whether a one-category improvement is clinically meaningful to patients.
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Assessing Pain

Guidance for Industry
Irritable Bowel Syndrome — Clinical Evaluation of Drugs for Treatment

We recommend evaluating abdominal pain intensity by using an 11-point (i.e., 0 to 10) numeric rating scale that asks patients daily to rate their worst abdominal pain over the past 24-hours.  

Guidance for Industry
Analgesic Indications: Developing Drug and Biological Products

a. Pain intensity

Pain intensity is the fundamental measure that defines the efficacy of an analgesic drug. There are no objective measures for pain intensity. As PROs, pain intensity can be measured by numerical rating scales, visual analog scales, or categorical scales. Each of these measurement techniques has advantages and disadvantages that should be considered in the design. It is important also to choose the endpoint measure appropriate to the patient population and clinical situation being studied. When disease-specific pain measures are available, they may be preferable to nonspecific measures if adequately developed because they may be more sensitive to change and more interpretable.
Pain Scales

➢ **11-point numeric rating scale (NRS)**
  - **Example**: The Brief Pain Inventory – Short Form (BPI-SF) Item 3
    - Well-documented measurement of pain intensity

3. Please rate your pain by marking the box beside the number that best describes your pain at its **worst in the last 24 hours**.

<p>| | | | | | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Pain</td>
<td>Pain As Bad As You Can Imagine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


➢ **Visual analogue scale (VAS)**
  - Concerns with consistent line length; some difficulty of use

Challenges & Considerations when Using COAs for Assessment of Pain

- Include **localization** of pain (e.g., abdominal/bladder/pelvic) in the item instructions and stem/question
  - Pictures with location of pain circled
  - Need for qualitative research with patients

- **Recall period** – past 24 hours versus past week

- **Average versus worst** pain

- Capture patients’ concomitant **analgesic use**

- Optimize the **frequency and timing of assessments** of pain assessments in order to capture meaningful data
  - **Chronic versus episodic** pain
Challenges in Using COAs for Assessment of Urgency

• Urgency sometimes included in the definition of a patient population
  – Urinary urgency characterizes overactive bladder syndrome
  – Pain associated with urinary urgency characterizes interstitial cystitis

• Patient input is needed to better define “urgency.”

• Difficult to measure urgency without knowing what severity and frequency of urgency is considered normal functioning and what is considered normal to the patient.

• Need for qualitative research with patients to better establish what is considered meaningful improvement in feelings of urinary urgency and bowel urgency
Practical Considerations when Including COAs in Clinical Trials

• Phase 2 trials represent an **opportunite time** to **evaluate psychometric properties and performance** of the PRO tool
  – Document evidence to support a responder definition prior to inclusion of the PRO tool in phase 3

• Patient global impression of severity and change (PGI-S and PGI-C) scales should be included in both **phase 2 and 3 clinical trials**

• Same PRO items and response options should be used across all phase 2 and 3 clinical trials for **comparability of PRO data**

• Submit psychometric evaluation study **protocols to FDA**
Pathways for FDA Clinical Outcome Assessment (COA) Review & Advice

1. **IND/NDA/BLA Pathway**
   - **Within** an individual drug development program
   - Investigational New Drug (IND) submissions to FDA
   - Potential to result in **labeling** claims

2. **DDT COA Qualification Pathway**
   - **Outside** of an individual drug development program
   - Development of novel COAs for use across multiple drug development programs addressing unmet measurement needs
   - Potential to result in **qualification** of a COA

3. **Critical Path Innovation Meetings Pathway**
   - **Outside** of an individual drug development program
   - Potential for *general non-binding CDER advice* on specific methodology or technology (e.g., PRO instrument) in its early stages of development

BLA = Biologics Licensing Application; CDER = Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (FDA); DDT = Drug Development Tool; NDA = New Drug Application; PRO = Patient-Reported Outcome
Summary

• **Patient’s voice is important** to consider when developing PRO tools intended to assess how patients feel or function.

• Regulatory standards (21 CFR Part 314) to determine whether a COA is “well-defined and reliable.”

• **FDA maintains flexibility** in our evaluation of evidence, taking into account evidentiary standards, feasibility, and practicality.

• There are challenges and considerations when assessing patients’ pain and urgency symptoms.

• Early planning and discussion with FDA important to ensure clinical trial assessments are **fit-for-purpose** and measure what is most important to patients.

• FDA has developed numerous tools and pathways for COA development, review, and advice and is open to **engagement early and throughout** clinical trial endpoint development.
Helpful links

- FDA COA Staff Website: https://www.fda.gov/aboutfda/centersoffices/officeofmedicalproductsandtobacco/cder/ucm349031.htm
- Pilot CDER COA Compendium: https://www.fda.gov/drugs/developmentapprovalprocess/developmentresources/ucm459231.htm
THANK YOU
Roadmap to PATIENT-FOCUSED OUTCOME MEASUREMENT in Clinical Trials

Understanding the Disease or Condition

A. Natural history of the disease or condition
   • Onset/Duration/Resolution
   • Diagnosis
   • Pathophysiology
   • Range of manifestations

B. Patient subpopulations
   • By severity
   • By onset
   • By comorbidities
   • By phenotype

C. Health care environment
   • Treatment alternatives
   • Clinical care standards
   • Health care system perspective

D. Patient/caregiver perspectives
   • Definition of treatment benefit
   • Benefit-risk tradeoffs
   • Impact of disease

Conceptualizing Treatment Benefit

A. Identify concept(s) of interest for meaningful treatment benefit, i.e., How a patient:
   • Survives
   • Feels (e.g., symptoms)
   • Functions

B. Define context of use for clinical trial:
   • Disease/Condition entry criteria
   • Clinical trial design
   • Endpoint positioning

Selecting/Developing the Outcome Measure

A. Search for existing COA measuring concept of interest in the context of use:
   • Measure exists
   • Measure exists but needs to be modified
   • No measure exists
   • Measure under development

B. Begin COA development
   • Document content validity (qualitative or mixed methods research)
   • Evaluate cross-sectional measurement properties (reliability and construct validity)
   • Create user manual
   • Consider submitting to FDA for COA qualification for use in exploratory studies

C. Select clinical outcome assessment (COA) type:
   • Patient-Reported Outcome (PRO)
   • Observer-Reported Outcome (ObsRO)
   • Clinician-Reported Outcome (ClinRO)
   • Performance Outcome (motor, sensory, cognition)

C. Complete COA development:
   • Document longitudinal measurement properties (construct validity, ability to detect change)
   • Document guidelines for interpretation of treatment benefit and relationship to claim
   • Update user manual
   • Submit to FDA for COA qualification as effectiveness endpoint to support claims

Updated 4/28/15
Qualification of CLINICAL OUTCOME ASSESSMENTS (COAs)

I. Identify Context of Use (COU) and Concept of Interest (COI)
- Outline hypothesized concepts and potential claims
- Determine intended population
- Determine intended application/characteristics (type of scores, mode and frequency of administration)
- Perform literature/expert review
- Develop hypothesized conceptual framework
- Position COA within a preliminary endpoint model
- Document COU and COI

II. Draft Instrument and Evaluate Content Validity
- Obtain patient or other reporter input
- Generate new items
- Select recall period, response options and format
- Select mode/method of administration/data collection
- Conduct cognitive interviewing
- Pilot test draft instrument
- Finalize instrument content, format and scoring rule
- Document content validity

III. Cross-sectional Evaluation of Other Measurement Properties
- Assess score reliability (test-retest or inter-rater) and construct validity
- Establish administration procedures & training materials
- Document measure development
- Prepare user manual

Consider submitting to FDA for COA qualification for use in exploratory studies prior to longitudinal evaluation.

IV. Longitudinal Evaluation of Measurement Properties/Interpretation Methods
- Assess ability to detect change and construct validity
- Identify responder definition(s)
- Provide guidelines for interpretation of treatment benefit and relationship to claim
- Document all results
- Update user manual

Submit to FDA for COA qualification as effectiveness endpoint to support claims.

V. Modify Instrument
- Identify a new COU
- Change wording of items, response options, recall period, or mode/method of administration/data collection
- Translate and culturally adapt
- Evaluate modifications using spokes I - IV
- Document all changes

Consider submitting to FDA for qualification of new COA, as appropriate.

Link to detailed version of Wheel and Spokes diagram: